

William Burns  
18 Shore Road  
SOUTH QUEENSFERRY  
EH30 9SG

24 July 2001

Mr Alisdair Morgan MSP  
Convenor Justice 1 Committee  
The Scottish Parliament  
George IV Bridge  
EDINBURGH  
EH99 1SP

Dear Mr Morgan

**Supplement in Support of the Petition**  
by  
**Scotland Against Crooked Lawyers**  
**Before The Justice 1 Committee**

I certify that the following is a true rendition of facts.

I have experienced over a number of years that the judiciary operates independently of anyone. Its members are accountable to no one, giving them unrestricted authorisation to support even the most outrageous submissions of exploitative and dishonest lawyers against members of the public. To cover up for, *inter alia*, the incompetence, ignorance, prejudice, vindictiveness and downright corruption of one adjudicator, every other adjudicator, in turn, rubberstamps his colleague's earlier malfeasance.

The complete unfolding of my experiences in various tribunals since 1989, leading to my sequestration and beyond, has seen a series of corrupt judges rubberstamping corrupt decisions of other corrupt judges in an entirely contrived, stage-managed bankruptcy process. I was in the hands of an endlessly implacable tyranny that abides by no code, legal or humane. The maiden of justice was continually raped by that tyranny.

For over a decade I was subjected to a catalogue of mysterious decisions in our civil courts. The law and me were demoted to mere spectator status to observe what was but a protracted, extravagant, legal pantomime.

What was an initial pretended debt of less than £1,000 spiralled to over £50,000 as a result of greedy gravy train riders cruising on public funds. The curtain came down when my submitted defence, which contained over 14,000 words in my condescendence alone, were held to be "irrelevant *et separatim* lacking in specification" by the time-honoured motion - but what is just a ruse - designed to protect and safeguard the recommended pre-selected or chosen litigant who has no sustainable case to state. This was in spite of the fact that it was the Pursuer who moved the Sheriff Court to remit the case to the Court of Session, precisely to add more bandwagons onto the gravy train, in full knowledge that he would subsequently be moving the higher tribunal to have my entire submissions deemed irrelevant.

I was therefore denied my *locus standi*, (i.e. my right to be heard or to participate in my case) in direct contravention of Article 8 of the Human Rights Act 1998 and the ECHR. As a result, some long-cherished illusions of mine about the great justice system in our country have had to go through a painful reappraisal.

My wife - forced into the equation by the Pursuer as the "Second" Defender, but who had absolutely no relevant information to offer - appeared in Court 4 of the Court of Session on 14 January 2000. I arrived in the courtroom just as the proceedings were about to get under way. I sat in the nearest seat to the entrance and noticed [REDACTED] agent for my wife, gesture with a nod to Lord [REDACTED]. He acted on this by immediately summoning the macer over to him. The macer then told me he had been instructed to tell me to leave the courtroom. I left under protest because a bankrupt, sequestered legally or illegally, though not retrocessed, maintains a radical right and interest in his own estate, yet I was prevented from hearing my wife's evidence. I was there precisely to give her some moral support while she was giving evidence, but was prevented from doing so by sinister forces. Had I been a member of the public with no interest in the "show hearing" there would have been no prompt from the colluding agent, [REDACTED], and no order given for my removal from the court by Lord [REDACTED].

Without my presence in court my wife was led by the nose like a lamb to the slaughter by the two advocates. And watching me being told to leave the court added to the pressure she felt, leaving her somewhat confused and unable to put any type of case fairly to the court. What took place was not a legitimate "Proof" hearing, but a lynching.

I lodged a complaint with the former Secretary of State for Scotland, Dr John Reid to make Lord accountable for his intolerably injudicious decision to have me removed from court. In a reply I was informed that the Secretary of State has no locus in such complaints and that that locus now resides in the First Minister of the Scottish Executive. This was Donald Dewar at the time. All my letters to both him and the present First Minister [and now Jack McConnell] were ignored, so I supplicate the Justice 1 Committee to give reassurance that Lord [redacted] conduct and/or other such unacceptable conduct by members of the judiciary will be thoroughly investigated, as no one in the judiciary must be seen to be unaccountable.

Scotland should be the bulwark of liberty and justice and not just another shameful link in the chain of self-regulatory, unaccountable quangos, with bureaucratic inertia and expediency the dominant factors of their existence.

Yours sincerely

William Burns